Surgical Management of Typhoid Ileum Perforations: A Systematic Review

Azad Patel, Paul Kelly, Mulewa Mulenga

ABSTRACT

Background: Typhoid is a disease caused by a gram negative bacterium <u>Salmonella typhi</u>. Prolonged infection leads to necrosis in the Peyer's patches of the antimesenteric border of bowel leading to intestinal perforation. Various surgical procedures have been described for the treatment of these perforations. Typhoid intestinal perforations are still associated with high case fatality rates averaging 15.4%.

Objective: To identify current surgical management options for typhoid ileal perforations and to describe the best surgical management in relation to mortality and complications.

Methods: A systematic review was done using PRISMA guidelines. Common search terms used were typhoid perforation/typhoid ileal perforation management. A narrative synthesis of the findings from the included studies structured around the type of intervention, target population characteristics, types of outcome and intervention content was done.

Results: Primary closure of ileal perforations was the most commonly performed procedure. Ileostomy is the choice of surgery for severe abdominal contamination and when the patient has poor general health. Most studies found mortalities and complications to be unrelated to surgical procedure done. Mortality was significantly associated with the number of perforations and abdominal contamination.

Conclusions: Individual studies support particular surgical interventions but the review showed that complications and mortality are not related to the type of surgical intervention alone but to a number of

other non-surgical factors. There is need for further level 1 studies on this topic.

INTRODUCTION

Typhoid fever, also known as enteric fever, is a potentially fatal multisystemic illness caused primarily by *Salmonella enterica*, subspecies *enterica* serovar *typhi* and, to a lesser extent, related serovars *paratyphi* A, B, and C.

Patients with perforations are diagnosed clinically with signs of peritonitis and radiologically with simple plain x-rays and ultrasound. Diagnosis is difficult as patients are ill for a while prior to perforation which usually occurs in the second week of illness. Ileal perforations due to typhoid have posed a management challenge to surgeons and have high case fatality rates averaging 15.4% amongst hospitalized patients.

The purpose of this review is three fold: (1) to identify the current surgical treatment options for typhoid ileal perforations. (2) to identify the factors influencing the choice of treatment. (3) to identify the best treatment option (s) for typhoid perforation.

Methods

A systematic review was done using PRISMA guidelines. Common search words of typhoid perforation/ typhoid ileum perforation management were used to search on PubMed, Cochrane library and Google Scholar. All retrospective and prospective studies between 2000 and 2018 were included. Titles and abstract screening was done by one researcher. Reviews of selected articles was done by two independent reviewers. Review protocol was registered on the PROSPERO register of systematic reviews (Prospero review number CRD42018089394).

Inclusion criteria:

The inclusion criteria were:

- Level I, II, III and IV studies
- Studies with patients treated between 2000 and 2018.
- Only abstracts in English with available full papers.
- Human subjects
- Patients of all ages with Ileum perforations identified at surgery.
- Only patients with a positive microbiological test for typhoid or positive Widal's test.

Exclusion criteria:

The exclusion criteria were:

- Case reports and case series with less than 10 patients were excluded
- Studies that did not give details of surgical procedure.



Diagram 1: Flow diagram of the study selection process

Types of outcome measures:

Studies with details of complications and mortalities in relation to the surgical intervention were included. Studies in which a second surgical intervention was carried out were also included.

Analysis:

A narrative synthesis of the findings from the included studies structured around the type of intervention, target population characteristics, type of outcome and intervention content was performed. The review focused on the various surgical treatment options that were described in the selected studies. The factors leading to the choice of surgical treatment was described and categorized. Each treatment option was analysed in relation to mortality, complications and need for further surgical treatment.

Quantitative analysis of the data was done for 8 studies that had similar methodology and had clearly correlated the surgical intervention with the mortalities and complications observed. Odds ratios were calculated with 95% confidence interval and plotted on a forest plot for comparison of mortality with surgical intervention.

RESULTS

Studies included:

From the 71 studies identified with full text available, 24 studies were excluded as no confirmation of diagnosis was done for typhoid fever. Thirty one studies met the full inclusion criteria. Eight studies had quantitatively correlated the surgical intervention with mortality and complications. These included a randomized control trial and seven cohort studies. The 7 cohort studies that had quantitatively related the various surgical treatment options to mortality and complications were subjected to the Newcastle-Ottawa quality assessment for cohort studies as shown in Table 2 below.

Study		Selection ***	*		Comparability **		Outcome	***
	Representat ive of exposed cohort	Selection of non-exposed cohort	Ascertainm ent of exposure	Outcome of interest not present at start	Comparability of the cohorts	Assessment of outcomes	Follow up long enough	Adequacy of follow up
Arshad et al (3)	*		*	*	*	*	*	
Bashir et al (10)	*		*	*	*	*		
Choudhury et al (12)			*	*		*		
Tariq et al (16)	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Steven et al (23)	*		*	*		*		
Sagar et al (28)	*		*			*	*	
Udai et al(18)	*		*	*	*	*		

Table 2: Newcastle-Ottawa Quality Assessment Form for Cohort Studies included

Quality assessment using the New-Castle Ottawa Scale for cohort studies included for quantitative analysis. An asterisk [*] indicated that a measure was adequately addressed in this study. A maximum of one star was awarded for each numbered item within the selection and outcome categories while two for the comparability.

Two studies were rated as good quality, two studies were fair quality (12, 20) and three were poor quality (14, 25, 30) according to the Newcastle-Ottawa quality assessment for cohort studies. Based on this assessment, a meta-analysis was not carried out.

Surgical treatment options

All eligible studies used an open approach. Table 3 lists the surgical options that were employed.

Table 3: Surgical treatment options identified.

Surgical procedure	Number of studies N=31	
Primary closure (repair)	22	
Resection and anastomosis	15	
Loop ileostomy at perforation	10	
Proximal end ileostomy with perforations repair	9	
Right hemicolectomy	7	
Resection and Ileo- transverse anastomosis	5	
Primary closure with omental patch	4	
Ileostomy with distal mucous fistula	2	
T tube drainage	1	

Factors influencing choice of surgical procedure

The factors influencing the choice of surgical intervention from the studies in the review were categorised and tallied as shown in figure 1.



Figure 1: Factors influencing the choice of surgery

Complications



Figure 2: Complications with various surgical procedures



Figure 3: Box plot of early and intermediate complications of surgical management of typhoid ileum perforations.

Mortality

Mortality rates in patients with typhoid perforations have shown a declining trend. Authors have described various factors that are significantly related to mortality. Figure 3 shows 8 studies that correlated mortality to various surgical procedures used in the treatment of typhoid ileum perforations.

Figure 4: Forest plot comparing mortality rates among various surgical procedures (see figure on the next page)

DISCUSSION

Overall case fatality rates have reduced for hospitalized patients with typhoid ileum perforations. Mogasale et al in 2013 found a case fatality rate (CFR) for hospitalized patients with typhoid ileum perforations at 15.4%. The average case fatality for studies in the review from January 2014 to January 2018 dropped to an average of 11.6% in these studies.

Early presentation to hospital, adequate preoperative resuscitation with intravenous fluids and blood products, antibiotic treatment, employment of damage control surgery were associated with improved outcomes (6-9, 14, 24, 26, 27)

The use of primary repair as the choice of surgical treatment of ileum perforations due to typhoid is recommended because it is simple, quick and cost effective. . Patients presenting with single perforations were more common than multiple perforations in all studies except for the study by Ekenze et al that showed an equal distribution of patients. All studies that were testing primary repair as a surgical treatment option recommended it to be the treatment of choice for single perforations. The only exception to this was in the presence of severe abdominal contamination when exteriorisation of the perforation was the preferred choice of surgical intervention. Primary repair with omental patch was described in 3 studies including a randomized control trial (RCT) by Musharraf et al. No blinding was done in the RCT that compared primary repair alone to primary repair with omental patch. For the omental patch repairs, the perforation was first repaired in 2 layers with vicryl 3/0 for the inner layer and silk 3/0 for the outer layer. The omentum was fixed with vicryl 3/0 over the repair as a patch.

	Experimental		Control		Odds Ratio	Odds Ratio		
Study or Subgroup	Events		Events	Total	M-H, Random, 95% CI	M-H, Random, 95% Cl		
1.1.1 Primary Repair	r of Perfora	ation						
Arshad 2006	5	40	3	72	3.29 [0.74, 14.55]	++		
Bashir 2009	0	34	3	22	0.08 [0.00, 1.65]	+ + + - + - + +		
Chowdhury 2010	4	20	7	59	1.86 [0.48, 7.17]			
Musharraf 2011	1	86	3	90	0.34 [0.03, 3.35]			
Sagar 2016	0	10	1	20	0.62 [0.02, 16.57]			
Steven 2007	5	34	3	19	0.92 [0.19, 4.36]			
Tariq 2011	2	23	1	23	2.10 [0.18, 24.87]			
Udai 2003	7	107	11	79	0.43 [0.16, 1.17]			
1.1.2 lleostomy base	ed operatio	n						
Arshad 2006	1	54	7	58	0.14 [0.02, 1.16]			
Bashir 2009	3	22	0	34	12.38 [0.61, 252.45]			
Chowdhury 2010	5	50	6	29	0.43 [0.12, 1.55]	+		
Sagar 2016	0	10	1	15	0.46 [0.02, 12.45]			
Tariq 2011	1	23	2	23	0.48 [0.04, 5.66]			
Udai 2003	9	70	9	116	1.75 [0.66, 4.65]			
1.1.3 Resection and	Anastomo	sis						
Arshad 2006	2	18	6	96	1.88 [0.35, 10.12]			
Chowdhury 2010	1	4	10	74	2.13 [0.20, 22.58]			
Sagar 2016	1	5	0	25	17.00 [0.59, 486.41]			
Steven 2007	3	19	5	34	1.09 [0.23, 5.15]			
Udai 2003	1	3	17	183	4.88 [0.42, 56.68]			
1.1.4 primary repair	with omen	tal pato	:h					
Chowdhury 2010	1	4	10	75	2.17 [0.20, 22.93]			
Musharraf 2011	1	90	3	86	0.31 [0.03, 3.05]			
Udai 2003	1	6	17	180	1.92 [0.21, 17.38]			
						0.01 0.1 i 10 100		
						Favours (experimental) Favours (control)		

Figure 4: Forest plot comparing mortality rates among various surgical procedures

Musharraf et al used this only for patients with single ileum perforations due to typhoid.

Complications were noted to be increased with primary repair according to Arshad et al . These mostly included wound infection, residual abscess and faecal fistula. Faecal fistulae were a result of repair breakdown or re-perforations. Uba et al and Faisal et al however found less complications in patients treated with primary repair . The majority of studies found no significant correlation between primary repair and occurrence of complications in comparison to other surgical treatment modalities . Primary repair was not significantly correlated with mortality and complications based on level II and III evidence.

Faisal et al recommended primary repair as the choice of treatment for patients presenting with symptoms of bowel perforation of less than 48 hours duration.

Resection and anastomosis was the choice of surgical procedure in the presence of multiple perforations. Right hemicolectomy was done with ileotransverse anastomosis when the perforation was within 15cm of the ileocecal junction (8, 27). This review noted that there was no significant difference between mortality in patients treated with resection and anastomosis as opposed to those treated with other surgical methods but this evidence is considered level II. With regards to complications, resection and anastomosis was significantly associated with increased number of complications . Ugwu et al advised not to attempt resection and anastomosis within 15cm of the ileocecal junction as this was associated with increased complications .

Various types of ileostomies were described in the review including loop ileostomy via perforation , end ileostomy and primary repair with proximal loop ileostomy . T-tube ileostomy in paediatric patients of typhoid fever with multiple ileal perforations and poor general condition can be used as an alternative to bowel ileostomy. Given the better outcome with T-tube, it may be necessary to include patients with single perforation and poor general condition among those who may benefit from T-tube in future studies . Anand et al had no mortalities and there was no need for a second operation with the use of a T-tube .

Ileostomies were the choice of surgical intervention in the presence of multiple perforations and severe abdominal contamination based on level II evidence. There was a significantly reduced mortality amongst patients surgically treated with ileostomy compared to other surgical procedures . However enterostomies were associated with increased stoma related complications such as stoma prolapse (4, 24). Long term complications such as incisional hernia, late adhesive intestinal obstruction and hypertrophic scar or keloid formation were only comprehensively assessed in one study by Phillipo et al and hence no conclusion could be made on long term complications in typhoid ileum perforation. This study did not correlate these long term complications to the exact type of surgical intervention.

With no surgical intervention standing clearly above the other interventions and the relatively high mortality rate in patients with typhoid ileum perforations, it is recommended that prevention of this condition should be done when possible. This mainly involves breaking the faecal-oral cycle by means of good hygiene. With social-economic challenges, this may also be difficult to achieve.

One of the limitations of this review was that only articles in English were included.

CONCLUSION

The choice of surgical procedure for treatment of typhoid ileum perforation is dependent on the number of perforations and the level of peritoneal contamination. Aggressive resuscitation and prompt surgical treatment are key to better outcomes. Complications and mortality are not related to the surgical procedure alone but to a number of nonsurgical factors. There is need for further level 1 evidence on this topic.

FUNDING

No funding was required for the review.

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

There were no conflicts of interest to be declared.

REFERENCES:

- Chanh NQ, Everest P, Khoa TT, House D, Murch S, Parry C, et al. A clinical, microbiological, and pathological study of intestinal perforation associated with typhoid fever. Clinical Infectious Diseases. 2004;39(1):61-7.
- 2. Mogasale V, Desai SN, Mogasale VV, Park JK, Ochiai RL, Wierzba TF. Case fatality rate and length of hospital stay among patients with typhoid intestinal perforation in developing countries: a systematic literature review. PLoS One. 2014;9(4):e93784.
- Malik AM, Laghari AA, Mallah Q, Qureshi GA, Talpur AH, Effendi S, et al. Different surgical options and ileostomy in typhoid perforation. World J Med Sci. 2006;1(2):112-6.
- Uba AF, Chirdan LB, Ituen AM, Mohammed AM. Typhoid intestinal perforation in children: a continuing scourge in a developing country. Pediatric surgery international. 2007;23(1):33-9.

- 5. Ansari AG, Naqvi SQH, Ghumro AA, Jamali AH, Talpur AA. Management of typhoid ileal perforation: A surgical experience of 44 cases. Gomal J Med Sci. 2009;7(1):27-30.
- Aliyu S, Babayo U, Tahir M, Zarami A, Ibrahim A, Madziga A. Typhoid Perforation: Presentation and Management Outcome North-Eastern Nigeria. J Gastrointest Dig Syst. 2017;7(526):2.
- Pandey A, Kumar V, Gangopadhyay AN, Upadhyaya VD, Srivastava A, Singh RB. A pilot study on the role of T-tube in typhoid ileal perforation in children. World journal of surgery. 2008;32(12):2607-11.
- Pujar A, Ashok A, Rudresh H, Srikantaiah H, Girish K, Suhas K. Mortality in Typhoid Intestinal Perforation–A Declining Trend. Journal of clinical and diagnostic research: JCDR. 2013;7(9):1946.
- Sümer A, Kemik Ö, Dülger AC, Olmez A, Hasirci I, Kişli E, et al. Outcome of surgical treatment of intestinal perforation in typhoid fever. World Journal of Gastroenterology: WJG. 2010;16(33):4164.
- Ahmad B, Mehdi A, Hameed F, Dab RH. Evaluation and Determination of Prognostic Factors in Typhoid Ileal Perforation.
- Chowdhury J, Iftekhar M, Shaheed N. Development of an ideal operative procedure in typhoid perforation management. ORION. 2010;33(1).
- Choudhury P. Choice of Surgical Procedures in Enteric Fever: A Study. International Journal of Health Sciences and Research (IJHSR). 2014;4(8):87-92.
- Shrivastava D, Jain A, Gharde P, Sharma D, Verma R. Typhoid intestinal perforation in Central India-a surgical experience of 155 cases in resource limited setting. IJBAR. 2014;5(12):600-4.
- 14. Ekenze S, Okoro P. Typhoid ileal perforation: Analysis of morbidity and mortalityin 89 children. Nigerian journal of clinical practice. 2008;11(1):59-63.

- Bhopal FG, Azhar F, Naseer-Ud-Din TF. Selective management of typhoid perforation of bowel. Journal of surgery Pakistan (International). 2011;16(3):123-6.
- 16. Farooq T, Rashid MU, Lodhi M, Farooq A, Ahmad S. Enteric ileal perforation primary repair versus loop ileostomy. Infection. 2011;3(13.04):9.
- 17. Hassan F, Sinha RK, Pratap V, Sinha D. Ileostomy in management of typhoid enteric perforation presenting late: an experience at tertiary-care hospital, Jharkhand, India. Pak J Surg. 2010;26(2):108-0.
- Beniwal US, Jindal D, Sharma J, Jain S, Shyam
 G. Comparative study of operative procedures in typhoid perforation. Indian J Surg. 2003;65(2):172-77.
- Ahmad T, Khan MI, Hussain N, Siddiqui E, Islam Z. Perforation operation interval as a prognostic factor in typhoid ileal perforation. Journal of Surgery Pakistan (International). 2009;14:1.
- 20. Khan MY, Alam SI, Khan M, Hussain F, Jan QA. Common clinical outcomes after primary closure in patients with typhoid ileal perforations a descriptive cross sectional study in surgical department khyber teaching hospital peshawar. KJMS. 2014;7(2):315.
- 21. Husain M, Khan RN, Rehmani B, Haris H. Omental patch technique for the ileal perforation secondary to typhoid fever. Saudi journal of gastroenterology: official journal of the Saudi Gastroenterology Association. 2011;17(3):208.
- 22. Chalya PL, Mabula JB, Koy M, Kataraihya JB, Jaka H, Mshana SE, et al. Typhoid intestinal perforations at a University teaching hospital in Northwestern Tanzania: A surgical experience of 104 cases in a resource-limited setting. World journal of emergency surgery. 2012;7(1):4.
- 23. Edino ST, Yakubu AA, Mohammed AZ, Abubakar IS. Prognostic factors in typhoid ileal perforation: a prospective study of 53 cases. Journal of the national medical association. 2007;99(9):1042.

- 24. Kouame J, Kouadio L, Turquin H. Typhoid ileal perforation surgical experience of 64 cases. Acta chirurgica Belgica. 2004;104(4):445-7.
- Ugwu B, Yiltok S, Kidmas A, Opaluwa A. Typhoid intestinal perforation in north central Nigeria. West African journal of medicine. 2005;24(1):1-6.
- 26. Ugochukwu A, Amu O, Nzegwu M. Ileal perforation due to typhoid fever–review of operative management and outcome in an urban centre in Nigeria. International Journal of Surgery. 2013;11(3):218-22.
- 27. Na'aya H, Eni U, Chama C. typhoid perforation in Maiduguri, Nigeria. 2004.
- 28. Krishnarajesh RC, Basavraju B. Typhoid ileal perforation: a retrospective study. 2016.
- 29. Jain S, Meena L, Ram P. Surgical management and prognosis of perforation secondary to typhoid fever. Tropical Gastroenterology. 2017;37(2):123-8.
- Ameh EA, Ayeni MA, Kache SA, Mshelbwala PM. Role of damage control enterostomy in management of children with peritonitis from

acute intestinal disease. African Journal of Paediatric Surgery. 2013;10(4):315.

- 31. Qasmi G, Majid H, Dar HM, Javed A, Siddique Z, Tufail M. Critical Factors Effecting Morbidity and Mortality in Patients Operated for Typhoid Ileal Perforation. Proceeding SZPGMI vol. 2005;19(1):25-31.
- 32. Iqbal J, Meena RK, Pall M, Shakhawat N. Use of Acute Physiology and Chronic Health Evaluation II score to grade the severity and outcome in patients of typhoid ileal perforation peritonitis. Saudi Surgical Journal. 2016;4(3):99.
- 33. Khan ml-u-z, alam sn, haider j, malik ka. Prognostic factors in typhoid enteric perforation.
- 34. Kuubiere CB, Mogre V, Majeed SF, Alhassan A. Typhoid intestinal perforations in Northern Ghana. Al Ameen Journal of Medical Sciences. 2014;7(4):251-6.
- 35. Farooq u, farooq s, zahir S. Risk Factors of Typhoid Ileal Perforation